


Background & Methodology

As of 27 June 2022, more than 8 million refugees have reportedly fled Ukraine, with 1,205,405 refugees crossing the border into Romania, around 83,321 of whom have remained in the country (UNCHR). To respond to their needs, the Romanian authorities and civil society organisations have established 1,328 Refugee Accommodation Sites across the country. As of 22 June, these centres had the capacity to host 50,599 refugees, and are currently hosting 8,813 refugees.

To understand the needs of these centres, REACH, with the support of UNHCR, conducted key informant interviews (KIIs) with site focal points who reported on the situation in the centres. Baseline data collection took place between 17 May and 24 June through in person and phone interviews. Sites reported by DSU to host over 15 refugees were contacted to be part of the assessment. This factsheet presents the main requests from 115 assessed sites. Findings should be considered indicative.

Sites Locations




Source: DSU, Registry of Refugee Accommodation Sites, 22.06.2022

Average number of refugees per site surveyed
35

Key information

% of sites with the following vulnerable groups present



% of sites by hosting capacity (out of 115 sites)




Total reported capacity of sites



Stay duration in the assessed sites




Age breakdown



| | |
|--------------------------|-----|
| Minors (0-17 years old) | 37% |
| Adults (18-64 years old) | 54% |
| Older persons (65) | 9% |

Gender breakdown



| | |
|--------|-----|
| Female | 67% |
| Male | 33% |

Most Requested Items from sites

Below is an overview of items requested by sites during semi-structured in-person and phone interviews with KIs. In view of the high rotation of refugees going in and out of sites, the requested items are based on ongoing requirements for normal site functioning. **These requests do not necessarily mean sites do not currently have the requested items. Rather, these requests may be based on forward looking considerations about maintaining steady supplies. However, shortages of food and medical supplies have been reported.**

| Types of items | | % of sites requesting items | % of sites that received items since they opened* | Comparison | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|------------|------|
|  | Food | 75% | 81% | ↑ | +6% |
|  | Baby food | 46% | 62% | ↑ | +16% |
|  | Cooking items | 40% | 35% | ↓ | -5% |
|  | Sleeping items | 38% | 55% | ↑ | +17% |
|  | Hygiene items | 78% | 81% | ↑ | +3% |
|  | Cleaning items | 79% | 60% | ↓ | -19% |
|  | Infant supplies | 43% | 54% | ↑ | +11% |
|  | Children clothes | 39% | 60% | ↑ | +21% |
|  | Adult clothes | 39% | 63% | ↑ | +24% |
|  | Medical supplies | 60% | 54% | ↓ | -6% |
|  | PwD and people of age supplies | 10% | 19% | ↑ | +9% |
|  | Other appliances | 52% | 40% | ↓ | -12% |
|  | Education items | 67% | No data collected | | |

*The sites that reported receiving assistance mentioned the sources of donations to be NGOs (62%), the host community (47%), private organisations (47%), local authorities (24%), the Romanian Government (7%) and UN agencies (4%) (multiple choices question)


Site Information

% of sites by site typology



| | |
|--|-----|
| Collective site (pre-existing structure) | 96% |
| Planned site (purpose-built site) | 4% |
| Unplanned site (spontaneous settlement) | 0% |

% of sites by type of building



% of sites by site duration



| | |
|---------------------------------|-----|
| Short-term (less than 3 months) | 8% |
| Medium-term (3 to 12 months) | 30% |
| Long-term (more than 12 months) | 30% |
| Not sure | 32% |

Are the following services available on the sites?

Legal advice



Yes **59%**
No **41%**

Top 3 counties with the least access to the service*

| | |
|-----------|-----|
| Maramures | 8% |
| Bucuresti | 33% |
| Galati | 40% |

Child-protection services



Yes **39%**
No **61%**

Top 3 counties with the least access to the service*

| | |
|-----------|-----|
| Satu Mare | 0% |
| Maramures | 8% |
| Galati | 20% |

Gender-Based Violence services



Yes **44%**
No **56%**

Top 3 counties with the least access to the service*

| | |
|-----------|-----|
| Maramures | 0% |
| Brasov | 15% |
| Galati | 20% |

Mental and psychological support




Yes **38%**
No **62%**

Top 3 counties with the least access to the service*

| | |
|-----------|-----|
| Maramures | 0% |
| Satu Mare | 0% |
| Galati | 20% |

Basic medical services



Yes **57%**
No **43%**

Top 3 counties with the least access to the service*

| | |
|-----------|-----|
| Bihor | 0% |
| Maramures | 8% |
| Brasov | 33% |

PwD and PwSN access




Yes **58%**
No **42%**

Top 3 counties with the least access to the service*

| | |
|-----------|-----|
| Satu Mare | 15% |
| Maramures | 25% |
| Galati | 60% |

Complaints and Response Mechanism



Yes **84%**
No **16%**

Top 3 counties with the least access to the service*

| | |
|---------|-----|
| Iasi | 75% |
| Suceava | 78% |
| Galati | 80% |

Translation services



Yes **71%**
No **29%**

Top 3 counties with the least access to the service*

| | |
|-----------|-----|
| Maramures | 8% |
| Galati | 40% |
| Bihor | 75% |

Formal education



Yes **70%**
No **30%**

Top 3 counties with the least access to the service*

| | |
|-----------|-----|
| Maramures | 8% |
| Suceava | 70% |
| Bihor | 75% |

*From the following list of counties with three or more assessed sites: Bihor, Brasov, Bucuresti, Galati, Iasi, Maramures, Satu Mare and Suceava



County-Level Information

| County | # of sites | # of sites assessed | # refugees hosted | Capacity | Occupancy |
|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------|------------|
| ALBA | 23 | 1 | 51 | 318 | 16% |
| ARAD | 31 | 0 | 38 | 872 | 4% |
| ARGES | 1 | 0 | 2 | 250 | 1% |
| BACAU | 18 | 2 | 96 | 565 | 17% |
| BIHOR | 28 | 4 | 340 | 1254 | 27% |
| BISTRITA NASAUD | 8 | 1 | 29 | 517 | 6% |
| BOTOSANI | 79 | 0 | 71 | 2796 | 3% |
| BRAILA | 42 | 0 | 89 | 1063 | 8% |
| BRASOV | 64 | 10 | 1049 | 2362 | 44% |
| BUCURESTI-ILFOV | 96 | 24 | 2319 | 4778 | 49% |
| BUZAU | 9 | 1 | 35 | 374 | 9% |
| CALARASI | 35 | 0 | 72 | 1901 | 4% |
| CARAS SEVERIN | 7 | 0 | 0 | 280 | 0% |
| CLUJ | 44 | 0 | 206 | 1519 | 14% |
| CONSTANTA | 113 | 1 | 1524 | 4892 | 31% |
| COVASNA | 2 | 0 | 11 | 46 | 24% |
| DAMBOVITA | 14 | 0 | 0 | 513 | 0% |
| DOLJ | 9 | 0 | 28 | 413 | 7% |
| GALATI | 38 | 5 | 438 | 1803 | 24% |
| GIURGIU | 16 | 0 | 0 | 557 | 0% |
| GORJ | 1 | 0 | 2 | 36 | 6% |
| HARGHITA | 24 | 0 | 40 | 467 | 9% |
| HUNEDOARA | 6 | 0 | 0 | 281 | 0% |
| IALOMITA | 5 | 0 | 10 | 153 | 7% |
| IASI | 54 | 14 | 349 | 2559 | 14% |
| MARAMURES | 55 | 12 | 254 | 1638 | 16% |
| MEHEDINTI | 5 | 0 | 1 | 150 | 1% |
| MURES | 20 | 0 | 101 | 437 | 23% |
| NEAMT | 11 | 1 | 83 | 566 | 15% |
| OLT | 4 | 0 | 0 | 161 | 0% |
| PRAHOVA | 15 | 2 | 182 | 750 | 24% |
| SALAJ | 9 | 0 | 8 | 493 | 2% |
| SATU MARE | 44 | 13 | 57 | 2605 | 2% |
| SIBIU | 7 | 1 | 58 | 262 | 22% |
| SUCEAVA | 82 | 11 | 381 | 3250 | 12% |
| TELEORMAN | 3 | 0 | 19 | 78 | 24% |
| TIMIS | 20 | 0 | 137 | 723 | 19% |
| TULCEA | 141 | 0 | 187 | 4916 | 4% |
| VALCEA | 112 | 1 | 526 | 2610 | 20% |
| VASLUI | 16 | 1 | 9 | 1061 | 1% |
| VRANCEA | 13 | 1 | 11 | 330 | 3% |
| Total | 1324 | 115 | 8813 | 50599 | 17% |

Source: DSU, Registry of Refugee Accommodation Sites, 22.06.2022

