Refugee Access to Livelihoods and Housing, Land, and Property

May 2019 UGANDA

CONTEXT

Uganda is currently hosting the largest number of refugees in the region with over 1.2 million refugees and asylum seekers, primarily from South Sudan, the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), and Burundi.¹ Recently arrived refugees have joined Rwandese, Somali, and other refugees that have lived in Uganda for decades. Due to the large number of refugees, the range of countries of origin, and the varying lengths of displacement, there are key information gaps on how access to or lack of housing, land, and property (HLP) for refugees impact their potential for sustainable livelihoods. In partnership with Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC), REACH conducted an assessment from 3 December 2018 to 15 March 2019 to collect baseline data on refugees' access to livelihoods and HLP. The assessment aims to provide a better understanding of the conditions of refugee access to livelihoods and HLP, the linkages, and other factors influencing sustainable livelihoods and potential movement within Uganda.


METHODOLOGY

A mixed methods approach was used, driven by a household-level structured survey, semi-structured key informant interviews (KIIs) and focus group discussions (FGDs). The quantitative household-level survey produced results representative for the refugee population in 30 refugee settlements (considered as 25 due to five combined settlements in Adjumani) across 11 districts, as well as select divisions of Kampala (Makindye, Kampala Central, and Kawempe) with a 95% confidence level and 10% margin of error at the settlement level for all questions asked to the entire sample size. A total of 3,165 households were interviewed for this assessment. All questions referring to a subset are footnoted.

KIIs and FGDs were used to triangulate the data and provide context to quantitative findings. KIIs targeted settlement leadership, including Office of the Prime Minister (OPM) commandants and UNHCR staff, refugee welfare committee leaders, district local government officials, and key partners working in relevant sectors. Semi-structured FGDs were stratified by gender and conducted with refugee and host community groups in each location.

Findings in this factsheet are representative of refugee households living in Kyaka II refugee settlement. A total of 119 refugee households were interviewed in Kyaka II.

POPULATION PROFILE OF REFUGEES IN UGANDA



Female-headed households (HHs): 67%

Average household size:

Displacement demographic


- Top countries of origin:1
- 1 South Sudan (65%)
 - 2 DRC (27%)
 - 3 Burundi (3%)
 - 4 Somalia (2%)

Informing more effective humanitarian action

REACH

BRIEF OVERVIEW OF KYAKA II IN KYEGEGWA DISTRICT

Kyaka II settlement was established in 2005 to receive the remaining population of Kyaka I following the mass repatriation of Rwandan refugees the same year. After this movement, Kyaka I was closed. Mid-December 2017, renewed violence in DRC led to a new refugee influx into Uganda, with an estimated 17,000 new refugee arrivals in Kyaka II.



NORWEGIAN

REFUGEE COUNCIL

NRC

¹ Figures based on United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) and Office of the Prime Minister (OPM)population figures updated as of April 2019. ²This question was only asked to the 17% of respondents facing HLP challenges. The level of confidence may thus be lower than 95%. ³ Respondents could answer more than one option for this question.

HOUSING

UGANDA DISTRICT: KYEGEGWA

SETTLEMENT: KYAKA II

HHs perceiving themselves at risk of eviction:

TENURE ARRANGEMENTS

% of HHs by shelter tenure:



- 73% Owned by the head of the household16% Owned jointly between household members
- 5% Owned by the spouse
- 2% Owned by other family members (rent free)
- 2% Owned by neighbors (rent free)
- 2% Rented

0% of HHs have documentation proving ownership or legal occupancy of shelter.

% of HHs by methods of shelter acquisition:

Construct	89%
Acquire	11%

Of the 89% of HHs that reported constructing their shelter, most commonly reported ways of acquiring materials:³

Purchased	70%
Nature	61%
Assistance	50%


SHELTER CHALLENGES

39% of HHs reported shelter damage. Of those, the most commonly reported parts of the shelter damaged are:³

60%

Damage to roof	85%
Damage to wall material	28%
Damage to floor	9%

57% of HHs reported not being able to conduct repairs. Of those, the most commonly reported reasons include:³



LAND

ACCESS TO LAND

% of HH reporting:³

Accessing land where shelter is located		96%
Accessing land in a separate plot	1	5%

Method of accessing land around shelter plot:

Ο

98% OPM/UNHCR allocation⁴2% Renting to access someone else's land

5% of HHs reported accessing land outside their shelter. Of those, the most commonly reported methods of accessing that land are:³

100% OPM/UNHCR allocation



USE OF LAND FOR CULTIVATION

52% of HHs reported using their shelter plot for cultivation. Of those, the following reported on sufficiency of the land to provide food for the HH in the most recent harvest.



FORMAL AGREEMENTS

Of the 2% that access land around shelter plot through renting, free access, or ownership, 100% of HHs that have a formal agreement to access the land

REA

Informing more effective humanitarian action

⁴ The Government of Uganda allocates land for residential and agricultural purposes, to refugees, irrespective of ethnicity and origin. This is done via OPM with the support of UNHCR.

NRC

NORWEGIAN

REFUGEE COUNCIL

HLP Challenges


17% of HHs reported facing HLP challenges. Of those:

Most commonly reported areas of HLP issues (% of HLP issues):³

Land accessed for cultivation	50%
Housing	40%
Land accessed for housing	30%

Most commonly reported types of HLP issues (% of HLP issues):³

Housing plot Plot given was unusable Land grabbing



HLP ISSUE RESOLUTION

8% of the 28 HLP issues reported were attempted to be resolved by the household

Out of the HLP issues that HHs attempted to resolve, the following mechanisms were used:³

Help desk	87%
Refugee Welfare Committee	27%
Family	7%

9% of the HLP issues reported were resolved

PROPERTY

32% of HHs reported having no property at all. Out of the 68% who do:

HHs with income-generating property: 28%

Most commonly reported type of property:



80% of property items are owned by one owner Proportion of those by sex of



16% of HHs that own property/assets reported having documentation proving ownership or legal access

% of HHs owning number of income-generating property items:



72% Zero income-generating items19% One income-generating item9% Two income-generating items

ACCESS TO INFORMATION

Most commonly reported sources and types of information HHs access:³

Source	Family and friends Refugee Welfare Committee OPM/UNHCR	52% 49% 34%
Type of information	Food distribution Registration Availability of services	 87% 66% 34%

89% of HHs reported trusting the information they receive

Most commonly reported information needs of HHs by area: $\!\!^3$

REACH Informing more effective humanitarian action

Food distribution	70%
Registration	53%
Availability of services	43%

NORWEGIAN REFUGEE COUNCIL

an Union ptection and itarian Aid

owner:

LIVELIHOODS

UGANDA DISTRICT: KYEGEGWA SETTLEMENT: KYAKA II

HHs reporting perceived livelihoods challenges:

100%

LIVELIHOODS SOURCES

Out of the 87% of HHs who reported having a source of income, the most commonly reported sources are:³

Cash assistance	44%
Farming	21%
Casual labour	17%

Most commonly reported livelihood groups HH members participate in:³

Farmers' group	22%
Village Savings and Loan Associations	16%
Savings and Credit Cooperative Organizations	9%

Most commonly reported livelihoods challenges:³

Lack of work opportunities	55%
Lack of skills/qualifications	42%
Lack of credit to start/continue a business	40%

SKILLS AND ASPIRATIONS

82% of HHs have men of working age and 96% of HHs have women of working age. Of those:

Most commonly reported livelihoods skills gained in country of origin:³

	†	Ť	
Farming	67%	Farming	58%
Livestock	32%	Livestock	24%
Casual labour	31%	Domestic work in the home	23%

Most commonly reported livelihoods aspirations:³



MOVEMENTS WITHIN UGANDA

PAST MOVEMENTS

% of HHs that had at least one member move in the year prior to data collection:

Of those:

Types of destinations:



Most commonly reported reasons for moving:³

Presence of health services	43%
Joining other family members	29%
Plant crops/cultivate land	29%

50% of the HHs with at least one member who moved reported that they receive resources from the member that moved

MOVEMENT INTENTIONS

% of HHs that have at least one member planning to move in the 6 months following data collection: 1%

Of those:

6%

Types of destinations:



Most commonly reported reasons for planned movement:³

REACH Informing more effective humanitarian action

Presence of employment opportunities	100%
Possibility of buying other property	100%

